

JISOM procedures for scientific acceptance

The article must be original and it cannot be sent to another review for publishing from JISOM sending time until its evaluation status ending. The article will not contain the authors names and it is sent to three reviewers. If the reviewers decide that article can be published then will be published in JISOM. Our reviewers will evaluate submitted papers against the following check points:

- Relevance from JISOM
- Originality
- Significance; usefulness
- Technical soundness
- References to the related literature
- Presentation
- Linguistic quality.

If the reviewers ask for revision of the article then the authors should make the revision and article will be published after the reviewers acceptance.

If the modifications asked by the reviewers are important then the editorial board will decide and will send to the authors the carrying on or stopping of the work on the article.

If the reviewers reject the article then the notification will be send to its authors.

By submitting an article to JISOM journal, the author(s) understands that manuscripts have not already been published or which are being considered by other journals while JISOM would be considering the same.

When an article is accepted the author will be required to complete a Copyright Assignment and to warrant that the article does not contain any existing copyright.

**JOURNAL OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT
PROPOSAL REVIEW FORM**

Reviewer Number..... **Proposal Number.....**
Title of Proposal

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION (Please circle your ratings below):

- 1. Significance of the problem or issue** (insignificant) 1 2 3 4 5 (significant)

2. Completeness of case (if applicable) (incomplete) 1 2 3 4 5 (complete)

3. Execution of research (unsystematic) 1 2 3 4 5 (systematic)

**4. Reasonableness of conclusions in
the light of the findings (if applicable)** (not grounded) 1 2 3 4 5 (grounded)

5. Originality, reader appeal (routine) 1 2 3 4 5 (original)

6. Appel to an international audience (low) 1 2 3 4 5 (high)

7. English language used (poor) 1 2 3 4 5 (very good)

OVERALL RECOMANDATION:

-1. Definitely accept 2. if space permits, accept with reservations (include explanation of concerns)
.....3. Accept 4. Reject

COMMENTS:

In the space below, please, indicate the reasons for accepting or rejecting the proposal. When appropriate indicate ways the proposal could be improved. Please make your specific suggestions (corrections) directly on the manuscript. This information will be sent (anonymously) to the first author of this proposal. Use the criteria items above to guide your comments. Be as helpful as you can.